B+63

R	COUTING	AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
	ate of K	Key Bui	lding	PA THE RESTORAGE THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE O
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO. 21101X-87
T Chief		_		DATE
Γ				1 December 1987
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	DA	TE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
16-4-	+	111	14/	,
T D D/OL	1 14	(M		- He has set up a meeting
		À	V.	with you on the 16th at
2.		5/12		113 6 1 2 1 900
DIL				Has. Sent a cy to RECD
3.		•		
Brude			+	
4.				Th
		\	\ \ \ \	H.
5.			1/1/	+ 000
3.				
	(C)	- 0		
6.			m	T X $_{\sim}$
·		100	MI	
7.	KUL		#	
				· 1
Г8.	+			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
				A MAN
9.		<u> </u>	_	Market Mark
			\mathcal{A}	
10.		0	Tall !	
		<i>\ I.</i> M	7 11	Ar
11.		<i>-</i>		4
		~ I	00	
	 	YIV		4
12.				111.
0				· Atheone
13.	ب	The second	des	ausuel a 1
THES-		ı we		curred at fortherin
" mts with	*			
my and	7			
· /				
··	T			I I
15.				

			A	21101887	
			3.54 2.54	21101887	
			2 December	3687	CD
				2DC	
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Logis	tics	SA Restor	
25X1 25X1	FROM:	Chief,		O/RES	ald order a k. delendre entervalvene
	SUBJECT,:	Deteriorated State	e of Key Buildi	ngPE	
	building was wi were never able that a water pi	are probably aware are never ending. thout heat until la to ascertain exact pe had broken (rust (heated) water in t	On Monday, 23 te afternoon. ly what happene ed through) ove	November, the Ut Although we d we were told or the weekend	Y 7.1 & As Toronton
	area. A large supporting our unsafe. We hav	ly the OMS Safety I number of electrica WANG Alliance termine been advised to under the depend upon. Pedaily basis.	l outlets, incl nals, have been nplug a critica	uding those declared I number of	
	problems at Key the iceberg. We engaging the Ti Faced with our building would Team. Any legalawyers working	probably know by no Building are concert that in mind, I ager Team approach to safetly inspectors' probably welcome "fal issues could probably out an addendum to al representatives.	rned, this is j would like you o the Key Build report, the ow ree maintenance ably be resolve the existing c	ust the tip of to consider ling complex. oners of the e' by our Tiger ed by our	
25 X 1	us. Our situat contributed to	gly urge you to app ion here at Key Bui low morale amond gorate our program.	lding is appall employees and	ing. It has has hampered	
25 X 1					
	cc: ADDA				
25X1 ┌					
				_	

l	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)	-			
Working Envir	ronment	in Key E	uilding	
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
AT Chief, Support Staff			1	DATE
Cirici, Support Starz				13 October 1987
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D	DATE		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line across column after each commen
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	10 Whom. Draw a line across column arter each commen
1. Director of Logistics				
XI				
2.]
DC/RECD			K. J	
3.				7
CIRECT			İ	
DC/RECD 3. C/RECD 4. C/EBJB				
CEBUR				
5.		+	<u> </u>	1
6.	 	<u> </u>		-
7.	+	 		
8.	-		<u> </u>	4
6.				
				4
9.				
		 	 	
10.				
		+	 	4
11.				
12.				
		ļ		
13.				
				4
14.		,		·
15.				
	İ			

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

☆ U.S. Government Printing Office: 1985-494-834/49156

COMPIDENTIAL

6 October 1987

MEMODANDIIM	FOR.	Director,	Office	of	Logistics
IVER, IVEE JER EN IN ELLEN	1, ()1/		0		

ATTENTION:

SUBJECT:

Chief, Real Estate & Construction Division

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

FROM:

Chief. Support Staff

Working Environment in Key Building

l. The attached list is a partial representation of building maintenance or service problems we have encountered with Charles E. Smith and Co. in Key Building during the period 5 July - 5 October 1987. It is the general perception that building services deteriorated considerably in the face of spending moratoriums and the anticipated move and other Key Building components to Headquarters during the summer and fall of 1987. The Headquarters moves have now been delayed until 1993. The employees who remain in Key are now asking for the same standard of service expected in Headquarters and other Agency Buildings.

2. We very much appreciate the efforts OL/RECD has made to provide the service we require. We are not at all certain that Charles E. Smith & Co. has the willingness or resources to provide the standard of service that is expected. We would strongly recommend that consideration be given to bringing in OGDEN Allied in lieu of Charles E. Smith. We realize this would represent a major effort on the part of all individuals concerned but based on our three-month experience we feel it would prove to everyones mutual advantage.

The following maintenance problems occurred during the period 5 July - 5 October 1987.

a. Power Problems: Power failures during July and August brought down the Wang Alliance and Word Processing systems. Intel production stopped. Building Management blamed the electric fans brought in by the employees. The employees maintained that the air conductioning system was ineffective. Both sides are correct.

Action Requested: Review of electrical and power requirements.

CONFIDENTIAL

COMPTORMPTAL

b. Air Conditioning Problems: The air handling system failed to provide a reasonable working environment during much of July. At our request, all ducts and vents were checked and vacuumed, apparently for the first time in many years. This service improved the quality of air and the use of fans was virtually eliminated. Unfortunately, our employees reported no air conditioning Saturday, 19 September. Both compressors located on the roof of Key Building had failed. There was no air conditioning in the building until the following Thursday afternoon, the dates are 19-24 September 1987. Some employees were released when temperatures went into the 90's. Again Intel production was impaired.

Action Requested: Reviews of Air Conditioning requirement and capabilities.

c. Minor Renovations: was allocated additional space on the second floor upon the departures of FBIS. It took five weeks to get a door installed to provide ready access to this space. This in itself was further aggravated by weekly promises to install the door with repeated, almost predictable no-shows. The perception of the employees affected is that Charles E. Smith is totally indifferent to their needs.

Action Requested: Review Response Times.

d. Maintenance: As a result of water leaks, holes were punched in the wall on the second floor during the third week in September. The leak appears to have been fixed. The holes remain four weeks later. Charles E. Smith claims to have sent repairman but there were no security escorts so they left without contacting anybody. As asked to be made aware of any future problems with escorts.

Action Requested: Review the Escort Coordination Process.

e. Elevators: Elevator service is frequently inadequate. Some mornings only one elevator will be in service. One morning two of the three appeared to be working but each insisted on stopping at each floor where they bulked and shuddered before moving to the next. Most employees choose to walk.

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

25X1

COMEIDEMETAL

f. Cleaning Services: The cleaning service, subject of many earlier complaints, has greatly improved since July. Restroom oders, a frequent complaint, are no longer apparent. Cleaning crews are much more in evidence. We appreciate the improvement in this area.

5X1				
,				
	,			
5 X 1				
.5/ 1	ALL PORTIONS CONFIDENTIAL			

C O N F I D E N T I A L

Associate Deputy Director for Administration

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, National Collection Division

VIA:

	FROM:	John M. Ray Director of Logistics				
	SUBJECT:	Deteriorated State of Key Building				
25 X 1	REFERENCE:	Memo for D/L frm dtd 2 Dec 87, Same Subject				
	1. Attache	d herewith is a detailed account of the state of				
	affairs in the K	ey Building submitted to me by the Chief, Real				
	Estate and Const	ruction Division, OL.				
	2. I do th	ink we could provide you with better logistical				
	support if you w	ould work through the logistics officers assigned				
25 X 1		You can be assured				
	that we will do our best to straighten out any deficiencies in					
	Key Building to	the best of our abilities.				
	3. I am ve	ry much concerned about OL's overall relationship				
25X1	The n	eed to invoke the Associate Deputy Director for				
	Administration (A/DDA) is very disturbing to me. Further, a				
25 X 1	senior officer	is alleged to have made the following				
25X1— ∠5X1						
		CONFIDENTIAL				
		COMPIDENTIAL				

CONFIDENTIAL

statement: "I've also looked at the building contract, the U.S.G. is being taken for a ride. I also think this building has too much asbestos -- violating federal law! Want to have fine?"

4. Given the gravity of this matter, I have taken the liberty of arranging a meeting with you and the A/DDA to discuss this further.

John M. Ray

Attachment: